

Additional Documentation for “Who Votes for Chavismo? Class Voting in Hugo Chávez’s Venezuela”

Table X1. *Representativeness of survey sample demographics*

| | 1993 | | 1998 | | 2000 | | 2004 | | 2006 | |
|---------------------------------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|
| | Sample | Pop |
| <i>Urbanization^a</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urban | | | 84.1 | 87.0 | 88.8 | 87.0 | | | 95.2 | 93.4 |
| Rural | | | 15.9 | 13.0 | 11.2 | 13.0 | | | 4.8 | 6.6 |
| <i>Gender^b</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 49.5 | 50 | 49.7 | 50 | 48.4 | 50 | 50.1 | 50 | 50.1 | 50 |
| Female | 50.5 | 50 | 50.3 | 50 | 51.6 | 50 | 49.9 | 50 | 49.9 | 50 |
| <i>Age^c</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18-24 | 23.4 | 21.2 | 28.9 | 20.7 | 23.8 | 20.5 | 22.0 | 20.1 | 24.7 | 19.9 |
| 25-34 | 28.3 | 29.4 | 25.8 | 28.6 | 28.2 | 28.3 | 26.0 | 27.6 | 26.8 | 27.3 |
| 35-44 | 20.7 | 21.5 | 18.8 | 22.6 | 21.5 | 23.1 | 21.1 | 24.0 | 23.2 | 24.4 |
| 45-54 | 18.1 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 15.7 | 15.0 | 17.2 | 13.1 | 17.9 |
| 55 and older | 9.6 | 14.7 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 14.5 | 12.4 | 16.0 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 10.5 |
| <i>State^c</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Federal District | | | 15.3 | 9.9 | | | 11.8 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 8.3 |
| Amazonas | | | 0.0 | 0.3 | | | | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Anzoátegui | | | 5.1 | 5.2 | | | 1.1 | 5.4 | 6.1 | 5.5 |
| Apure | | | 2.3 | 1.6 | | | 1.3 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| Aragua | | | 6.6 | 6.3 | | | 7.6 | 6.3 | 7.2 | 6.3 |
| Barinas | | | 2.8 | 2.6 | | | 2.2 | 2.8 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| Bolívar | | | 5.1 | 5.2 | | | 6.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Carabobo | | | 8.2 | 8.3 | | | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.6 |
| Cojedas | | | 0.8 | 1.1 | | | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |

| | 1993 | | 1998 | | 2000 | | 2004 | | 2006 | |
|------------------------------|--------|------|--------|-----|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|
| | Sample | Pop | Sample | Pop | Sample | Pop | Sample | Pop | Sample | Pop |
| Delta Amacuro | | 0.5 | 0.4 | | | | 0.4 | | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Falcón | | 3.1 | 3.3 | | | | 0.8 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Guárico | | 1.9 | 2.7 | | | | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Lara | | 6.0 | 6.7 | | | | 9.0 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| Mérida | | 4.1 | 3.1 | | | | 2.8 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 |
| Miranda | | 6.8 | 10.2 | | | | 6.8 | 10.0 | 10.1 | 10.0 |
| Monagas | | 2.6 | 3.0 | | | | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Nueva Esparta | | 0.9 | 1.6 | | | | 1.8 | 1.6 | | 1.6 |
| Portuguesa | | 3.2 | 3.1 | | | | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| Sucre | | 3.7 | 3.5 | | | | 5.1 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| Táchira | | 6.1 | 4.4 | | | | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| Trujillo | | 1.7 | 2.6 | | | | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
| Vargas | | | | | | | 3.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| Yaracuy | | 2.1 | 2.2 | | | | | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| Zulia | | 11.3 | 12.8 | | | | 14.8 | 13.2 | 16.7 | 13.2 |
| <i>Region^c</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital | | | | | 28.7 | 19.4 | | | | |
| Central | | | | | 18.0 | 15.8 | | | | |
| Centro Occidental | | | | | 10.2 | 15.4 | | | | |
| Guayana | | | | | 6.0 | 6 | | | | |
| Insular | | | | | | 1.6 | | | | |
| Los Andes | | | | | 6.4 | 8.4 | | | | |
| Los Llanos | | | | | 7.3 | 4.3 | | | | |
| Nor-Oriental | | | | | 9.8 | 11.8 | | | | |
| Sur Occidental | | | | | | 4.3 | | | | |
| Zulia | | | | | 13.6 | 13 | | | | |
| <i>Education^d</i> | | | | | | | | | | |

| | 1993 | | 1998 | | 2000 | | 2004 | | 2006 | |
|------------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|
| | Sample | Pop |
| None | 19.5 | 17.3 | 12.3 | 18.6 | 8.9 | 17.8 | 14.1 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 15.9 |
| Primary | 52.4 | 46.5 | 41.0 | 45.2 | 41.7 | 43.5 | 31.6 | 41.2 | 50.3 | 41.2 |
| Secondary | 22.5 | 19.7 | 23.9 | 20 | 34.1 | 20.5 | 37.3 | 22.9 | 25.5 | 22.9 |
| University | 5.6 | 16.4 | 22.9 | 16.3 | 15.3 | 18.1 | 17.0 | 19.9 | 11.7 | 19.9 |

^a United Nations, *World Urbanization Prospects*, 1999 and 2005

^b World Development Indicators

^c Venezuelan National Census, 1990 and 2001 (reported values are interpolated using linear rates of growth)

^d Economic Commission on Latin American and the Caribbean, *Social Panorama of Latin America*, 2006, Table 31.1 (reported values correspond to 1994, 1998, 2000, and 2005)

Table X2. Comparison of survey sample and population vote distributions

| | 1993 | | 1998 | | 2000 | | 2004 | | 2006 | |
|--------------------------------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|
| | Survey | Pop |
| Rafael Caldera | 29.3 | 30.5 | | | | | | | | |
| Claudio Fermín | 20.6 | 23.6 | | | 8.0 | 2.7 | | | | |
| Oswaldo Alvarez Paz | 34.7 | 22.7 | | | | | | | | |
| Andrés Velásquez | 13.5 | 22.0 | | | | | | | | |
| Hugo Chávez Frías | | | 48.0 | 56.2 | 61.0 | 59.8 | | | 76.3 | 62.8 |
| Henrique Salas Römer | | | 37.7 | 40.0 | | | | | | |
| Irene Sáez | | | 2.5 | 2.8 | | | | | | |
| Luis Alfaro Ucero [†] | | | 10.1 | 0.4 | | | | | | |
| Miguel Rodríguez | | | 1.07 | 0.3 | | | | | | |
| Alfredo Ramos | | | 0.09 | 0.11 | | | | | | |
| Francisco Arias | | | | | 31.0 | 37.5 | | | | |
| Manuel Rosales | | | | | | | | | 19.9 | 36.9 |
| Others | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.54 | 0.18 | | | | | 3.8 | 0.3 |
| No (2004 referendum) | | | | | | | 37.6 | 50.1 | | |
| Yes (2004 referendum) | | | | | | | 62.4 | 48.9 | | |
| Respondents | 1,369 | | 1,121 | | 1,307 | | 1,050 | | 1,507 | |
| Turnout | 77.7 | 60.2 | 88.5 | 63.8 | 88.8 | 56.3 | 92.9 | 69.9 | 76.8 | 74.7 |
| Abstention | 22.4 | 39.8 | 11.5 | 36.2 | 11.2 | 43.7 | 7.1 | 30.1 | 23.2 | 25.3 |

Sources: Election results are from Molina (2004); National Electoral Commission.

[†] Ucero resigned from the race after the 1998 survey was conducted, and his party backed Salas Römer in an attempt to avoid a victory for Chávez (see McCoy 1999).

Table X3. Descriptive statistics

| Year | Variable | Observations | Mean | Standard Deviation | Minimum | Maximum |
|------|-----------|--------------|------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| 1993 | Income | 1,388 | 1.36 | 0.88 | 0 | 3 |
| | Age | 1,479 | 1.62 | 1.28 | 0 | 4 |
| | Gender | 1,499 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| | Education | 1,499 | 1.14 | 0.79 | 0 | 3 |
| 1998 | Income | 1,401 | 1.46 | 1.09 | 0 | 3 |
| | Age | 1,500 | 1.56 | 1.37 | 0 | 4 |
| | Gender | 1,500 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| | Education | 1,492 | 1.57 | 0.97 | 0 | 3 |
| 2000 | Income | 1,364 | 1.39 | 1.11 | 0 | 3 |
| | Age | 1,500 | 1.65 | 1.35 | 0 | 4 |
| | Gender | 1,500 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| | Education | 1,500 | 1.56 | 0.86 | 0 | 3 |
| 2004 | Income | 887 | 1.16 | 1.02 | 0 | 3 |
| | Age | 1,197 | 1.77 | 1.37 | 0 | 4 |
| | Gender | 1,197 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| | Education | 1,015 | 1.57 | 0.93 | 0 | 3 |
| 2006 | Income | 1,303 | 1.61 | 1.05 | 0 | 3 |
| | Age | 1,464 | 1.66 | 1.31 | 0 | 4 |
| | Gender | 1,510 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| | Education | 1,372 | 1.36 | 0.85 | 0 | 3 |

Table X4. Effect of income on vote choice, 1998

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Income group:</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | 0.164 (0.18) | 0.231 (0.20) | 0.214 (0.18) | 0.446** (0.18) | 0.242 (0.19) | 0.316* (0.18) | 0.240 (0.22) | 0.482** (0.19) | 0.713*** (0.20) |
| 2 | -0.164 (0.18) | | 0.0666 (0.19) | 0.0500 (0.17) | 0.282* (0.17) | 0.0778 (0.18) | 0.152 (0.17) | 0.0763 (0.22) | 0.319* (0.18) | 0.549*** (0.19) |
| 3 | -0.231 (0.20) | -0.0666 (0.19) | | -0.0166 (0.19) | 0.216 (0.19) | 0.0112 (0.20) | 0.0854 (0.19) | 0.00968 (0.23) | 0.252 (0.19) | 0.482** (0.21) |
| 4 | -0.214 (0.18) | -0.0500 (0.17) | 0.0166 (0.19) | | 0.232 (0.16) | 0.0278 (0.17) | 0.102 (0.16) | 0.0263 (0.21) | 0.269 (0.16) | 0.499*** (0.18) |
| 5 | -0.446** (0.18) | -0.282* (0.17) | -0.216 (0.19) | -0.232 (0.16) | | -0.204 (0.17) | -0.130 (0.16) | -0.206 (0.21) | 0.0364 (0.16) | 0.266 (0.17) |
| 6 | -0.242 (0.19) | -0.0778 (0.18) | -0.0112 (0.20) | -0.0278 (0.17) | 0.204 (0.17) | | 0.0743 (0.17) | -0.00150 (0.21) | 0.241 (0.17) | 0.471** (0.19) |
| 7 | -0.316* (0.18) | -0.152 (0.17) | -0.0854 (0.19) | -0.102 (0.16) | 0.130 (0.16) | -0.0743 (0.17) | | -0.0758 (0.20) | 0.167 (0.16) | 0.397** (0.17) |
| 8 | -0.240 (0.22) | -0.0763 (0.22) | -0.00968 (0.23) | -0.0263 (0.21) | 0.206 (0.21) | 0.00150 (0.21) | 0.0758 (0.20) | | 0.242 (0.20) | 0.472** (0.21) |
| 9 | -0.482** (0.19) | -0.319* (0.18) | -0.252 (0.19) | -0.269 (0.16) | -0.0364 (0.16) | -0.241 (0.17) | -0.167 (0.16) | -0.242 (0.20) | | 0.230 (0.17) |
| 10 | -0.713*** (0.20) | -0.549*** (0.19) | -0.482** (0.21) | -0.499*** (0.18) | -0.266 (0.17) | -0.471** (0.19) | -0.397** (0.17) | -0.472** (0.21) | -0.230 (0.17) | |
| Age | 0.0561* (0.031) |
| Gender | -0.422*** (0.079) |
| Education | 0.0468 (0.047) |
| Constant | 0.644*** | 0.480** | 0.414** | 0.430** | 0.198 | 0.403** | 0.328* | 0.404* | 0.162 | -0.0683 |

| | (1) (0.19) | (2) (0.19) | (3) (0.20) | (4) (0.18) | (5) (0.18) | (6) (0.19) | (7) (0.17) | (8) (0.22) | (9) (0.18) | (10) (0.20) |
|--------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Observations | 1243 | 1243 | 1243 | 1243 | 1243 | 1243 | 1243 | 1243 | 1243 | 1243 |

Probit estimates with robust standard errors in parentheses (state dummies included in all models)

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table X5. *Effect of income on vote choice, 2000*

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Income group:</i> | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | -0.0542 (0.18) | -0.105 (0.18) | -0.148 (0.18) | -0.158 (0.21) | -0.194 (0.21) | 0.000344 (0.20) |
| 2 | 0.0542 (0.18) | | -0.0507 (0.14) | -0.0934 (0.15) | -0.103 (0.17) | -0.140 (0.17) | 0.0546 (0.17) |
| 3 | 0.105 (0.18) | 0.0507 (0.14) | | -0.0427 (0.14) | -0.0527 (0.16) | -0.0892 (0.16) | 0.105 (0.15) |
| 4 | 0.148 (0.18) | 0.0934 (0.15) | 0.0427 (0.14) | | -0.0100 (0.17) | -0.0466 (0.17) | 0.148 (0.17) |
| 5 | 0.158 (0.21) | 0.103 (0.17) | 0.0527 (0.16) | 0.0100 (0.17) | | -0.0366 (0.19) | 0.158 (0.18) |
| 6 | 0.194 (0.21) | 0.140 (0.17) | 0.0892 (0.16) | 0.0466 (0.17) | 0.0366 (0.19) | | 0.195 (0.18) |
| 7 | -0.000344 (0.20) | -0.0546 (0.17) | -0.105 (0.15) | -0.148 (0.17) | -0.158 (0.18) | -0.195 (0.18) | |
| Age | 0.0337 (0.034) |
| Gender | -0.180** (0.089) |
| Education | -0.0727 (0.055) |
| Constant | 0.468** (0.21) | 0.522*** (0.17) | 0.573*** (0.17) | 0.615*** (0.18) | 0.625*** (0.21) | 0.662*** (0.20) | 0.467** (0.20) |
| Observations | 1113 | 1113 | 1113 | 1113 | 1113 | 1113 | 1113 |

Probit estimates with robust standard errors in parentheses (region dummies included in all models)

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table X6. *Effect of income on vote choice, 2004*

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| <i>Income group:</i> | | | | | | |
| 1 | | -0.0847 (0.15) | -0.0906 (0.18) | -0.278 (0.23) | -0.393 (0.26) | 0.215 (0.29) |
| 2 | 0.0847 (0.15) | | -0.00589 (0.17) | -0.193 (0.22) | -0.309 (0.25) | 0.300 (0.28) |
| 3 | 0.0906 (0.18) | 0.00589 (0.17) | | -0.187 (0.24) | -0.303 (0.26) | 0.306 (0.29) |
| 4 | 0.278 (0.23) | 0.193 (0.22) | 0.187 (0.24) | | -0.116 (0.31) | 0.493 (0.34) |
| 5 | 0.393 (0.26) | 0.309 (0.25) | 0.303 (0.26) | 0.116 (0.31) | | 0.609* (0.35) |
| 6 | -0.215 (0.29) | -0.300 (0.28) | -0.306 (0.29) | -0.493 (0.34) | -0.609* (0.35) | |
| Age | -0.0557 (0.046) | -0.0557 (0.046) | -0.0557 (0.046) | -0.0557 (0.046) | -0.0557 (0.046) | -0.0557 (0.046) |
| Gender | -0.190* (0.11) | -0.190* (0.11) | -0.190* (0.11) | -0.190* (0.11) | -0.190* (0.11) | -0.190* (0.11) |
| Education | -0.142** (0.069) | -0.142** (0.069) | -0.142** (0.069) | -0.142** (0.069) | -0.142** (0.069) | -0.142** (0.069) |
| Constant | 0.270 (0.23) | 0.355 (0.24) | 0.361 (0.25) | 0.548* (0.29) | 0.664** (0.32) | 0.0549 (0.35) |
| Observations | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 | 738 |

Probit estimates with robust standard errors in parentheses (state dummies included in all models)

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table X7. Effect of income on vote choice, 2006

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| <i>Income group:</i> | | | | | | |
| 1 | | -0.227 (0.19) | -0.331 (0.24) | -0.144 (0.21) | -0.336 (0.23) | 0.0746 (0.22) |
| 2 | 0.240 (0.19) | | -0.104 (0.19) | 0.0828 (0.20) | -0.109 (0.20) | 0.302* (0.18) |
| 3 | 0.341 (0.24) | 0.104 (0.19) | | 0.187 (0.21) | -0.00474 (0.22) | 0.406** (0.20) |
| 4 | 0.155 (0.21) | -0.0828 (0.20) | -0.187 (0.21) | | -0.191 (0.21) | 0.219 (0.18) |
| 5 | 0.333 (0.23) | 0.109 (0.20) | 0.00474 (0.22) | 0.191 (0.21) | | 0.410** (0.17) |
| 6 | -0.0877 (0.22) | -0.302* (0.18) | -0.406** (0.20) | -0.219 (0.18) | -0.410** (0.17) | |
| Age | 0.00201 (0.047) | 0.00611 (0.048) | 0.00611 (0.048) | 0.00611 (0.048) | 0.00611 (0.048) | 0.00611 (0.048) |
| Gender | -0.0247 (0.100) | -0.0227 (0.10) | -0.0227 (0.10) | -0.0227 (0.10) | -0.0227 (0.10) | -0.0227 (0.10) |
| Education | -0.170*** (0.064) | -0.166*** (0.064) | -0.166*** (0.064) | -0.166*** (0.064) | -0.166*** (0.064) | -0.166*** (0.064) |
| Constant | 1.248*** (0.25) | 1.360*** (0.27) | 1.464*** (0.30) | 1.277*** (0.29) | 1.468*** (0.28) | 1.058*** (0.27) |
| Observations | 786 | 765 | 765 | 765 | 765 | 765 |

Probit estimates with clustered standard errors in parentheses (state dummies included in all models)

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1